



Treatment adherence and its relationship with health literacy in patients with mood disorders in hospitals affiliated with Isfahan University of Medical Sciences in 2022: A cross-sectional study

Sayed Reza Mousavi^{1,2}, Malek Fereidooni Moghadam³, Aliasghar Jamalinasab⁴, Elaheh Farahani⁵, Bahareh Yousefi⁵, Pegah Hassanvand^{2*}

¹Social Determinants of Health Research Center, Research Institute for Prevention of Non-Communicable Disease, Qazvin University of Medical Sciences, Qazvin, Iran

²Student Research Committee, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran

³Community-Based Psychiatric Care Research Center, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran

⁴Department of Nursing, Faculty of Nursing and Midwifery, Hormozgan University of Medical Sciences, Bandar Abbas, Iran

⁵Student Research Committee, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Qazvin University of Medical Sciences, Qazvin, Iran

Abstract

Background and aims: Mood disorders include major depression and bipolar disorder. Treatment adherence and health literacy are very important in these patients because they may affect their quality of life, performance, and recovery. Therefore, this study aims to determine the level of treatment adherence and its relationship to health literacy in patients with mood disorders.

Methods: This cross-sectional-correlation study was conducted on 120 patients with mood disorders in 2021-2022. Data were collected using the treatment adherence questionnaire of Ziaee et al and the Health Literacy of Iranian Adults (HELIA) questionnaire. Finally, the collected data were analyzed in SPSS-16 software.

Results: Findings showed that patients with mood disorders had moderate treatment adherence (51.53 ± 8.31) and insufficient health literacy (61.31 ± 15.91). The results indicated that treatment adherence had a significant relationship with health literacy in patients with mood disorders ($P < 0.05$, $r = 0.298$).

Conclusion: Inadequate health literacy in patients with mood disorders shows that health literacy should be considered in improving mental health. Therefore, it is recommended that by promoting the health literacy of these patients and identifying its challenges, treatment adherence in patients with mood disorders be improved.

Keywords: Treatment adherence, Health literacy, Mood disorders

*Corresponding Author:

Pegah Hassanvand,
Email: Pegahhassanvand60@gmail.com

Received: February 27, 2023

Accepted: June 26, 2023

ePublished: June 30, 2023

Introduction

Mood disorders are among the most severe and important mental diseases, including major depression and bipolar disorder. They are classified as debilitating disorders due to their recurring nature, inevitable complications, and heavy costs (1-3). These disorders affect one in every four persons during their lifetime (1). In a study conducted in six European countries, mood disorders ranked second among debilitating mental diseases. In Iran, in 2013, the prevalence of mood disorders was 4.35% (4). Studies estimate the prevalence of bipolar disorder in the general population to be 1.5-2.1% (5,6). Unfortunately, the rate of bipolar disorder in Iran is high, so the prevalence of type 1 bipolar disorder in Iran is estimated at 2-4% (5,7). Mahmoudi et al divided the causal conditions of recovery from bipolar disorders into three categories: individual,

family, and social, and introduced drug-related issues (such as medication adherence) as mediators (8). Because these disorders are frequently treated with long-term psychotherapy and pharmacotherapy, Pharmacotherapy is the first measure suggested for young patients with bipolar disorders. In this regard, continuous adherence to pharmacotherapy can lead to psychological health in young patients with bipolar disorder or recurrent major depression (9,10).

Treatment adherence describes the patient's compliance with the medical recommendations and includes behavior, dietary compliance, and lifestyle changes (11). Therefore, it is the main key to disease management (12). Therefore, treatment adherence is essential in improving disorders such as bipolar disorder. In addition, treatment adherence can significantly affect patients' quality of life

and performance in society and result in family stability, satisfaction, and independence (13,14). Studies have shown that non-adherence to treatment can increase length of stay, rehospitalization, and disease exacerbation, increasing care costs. Therefore, a lack of attention to this issue hinders the achievement of treatment goals (11,13,14). A report by the World Health Organization (WHO) titled “Adherence to long-term therapies” showed that weak treatment adherence is one of the reasons for adverse clinical outcomes, can waste health resources, and may disrupt the ability of healthcare systems in the world to achieve health goals (15). Although non-adherence to treatment is common in all health disciplines, mental disorders face a higher challenge (16). In psychological disorders, non-adherence to long-term therapies is common among complex treatment regimens (17). Non-adherence to treatment plans and early discontinuation of medications in these patients may lead to complications and, hence, maltreatment of mood disorders (18). Mikaili et al showed that treatment adherence is low in psychological patients, and factors such as patient awareness can increase treatment adherence (11). Zeighami et al stated that about 40% of patients with psychological disorders do not adhere to treatment (19).

Treatment adherence, especially in mental disorders, is a multifactorial phenomenon such as patient-based factors, demographic factors, treatment-related factors, healthcare and support system factors, proper therapeutic communication of the patient and physician, socioeconomic factors, disease-related factors, health beliefs, and perception of the need by patients (11,20). Health literacy is such a factor that can play an important role in treatment adherence (21,22). Health literacy is “the degree to which individuals can obtain, process, and understand basic health information and services needed to make appropriate health decisions” (23,24).

Low health literacy is associated with unfavorable health-related outcomes. It can be stated that most unfavorable health-related outcomes arise from low health literacy (21,22). A report by the WHO titled “Health Literacy: The Solid Facts” showed that weak health literacy skills are associated with high-risk behaviors, poor health, lack of self-management, and hospitalization (25). Therefore, those with poor health literacy have worse health conditions and face problems with self-management methods for their daily programs (26). Given the low public awareness about preventing mental disorders, help requests, and awareness of the existing treatments, understanding the health literacy status is a crucial step toward mental health improvement in communities (27). The study of Samar and Perveen shows that mental health literacy has a positive relationship with people’s attitudes toward help-seeking (28). Mental health literacy may also increase knowledge, decrease stigma, and improve general health indices (28,29). Studies on measuring health literacy, especially mental health literacy, are very limited in Iran and often

do not have the necessary scope (22). However, studies such as the study by Sadeghian et al and Parsa et al have shown that the rate of treatment adherence in patients with mental disorders was low before interventions such as education and education improved treatment adherence in mental patients (13,16).

Despite the effect of treatment adherence on the improvement of mood disorders and the importance of assessment of mental health literacy, limited studies have been performed in this field, most of which lack the necessary extent for identifying the existing challenges in this regard (11,22). Therefore, the present study aimed to determine treatment adherence and its relationship with health literacy in patients with mood disorders in Hospitals Affiliated with Isfahan University of Medical Sciences in 2022.

Materials and Methods

Technical information

Study design and site

It was a cross-sectional-correlation study performed on patients with mood disorders in the neuropsychology hospitals (Farabi and Korshid) affiliated with Isfahan University of Medical Sciences in 2022. Data collection and analysis in this study lasted from June to August 2022.

Selection and description of participants

The research population included all patients with mood disorders hospitalized in the study hospitals (Farabi and Khorsid) in Isfahan (Iran). Inclusion criteria were age ≥ 18 years, mood disorders (depression or bipolar) diagnosed by a psychiatrist, and willingness of people to participate in the study. Patients who refused participation or did not fill out the questionnaire or those with severe physical problems that prevent interaction between the researcher and the patient were excluded. In previous studies, the relationship between adherence to treatment and the health literacy of these patients was not investigated. However, the Pearson correlation coefficient between the treatment adherence and social support parameters was -0.33 , and the sample size was calculated at 54 people using the following formulas. However, to increase the study’s validity, the research team selected 120 patients with mood disorders who met the inclusion criteria through convenience sampling.

$$u_p = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1+\rho}{1-\rho} = 0.5 \times \ln \frac{1-0.33}{1+0.33} = -0.35$$

$$n = \frac{(Z_{1-\alpha} + Z_{1-\beta})^2}{u_p^2} + 3 = \frac{(1.64 + 0.84)^2}{(-0.35)^2} + 3 = 53.2 \cong 54$$

Variables and data collection

Variables

This study investigated adherence to treatment and health literacy as main variables, and demographic variables (including age, gender, marital status, education level, employment status, and source of receiving

health information) were investigated and analyzed as moderating variables.

Measurement

This study used a tripartite questionnaire to assess treatment adherence and health literacy in patients with mood disorders—the first part of the questionnaire pertained to demographic information, which was evaluated using a researcher-made questionnaire. The second part was derived from the treatment adherence questionnaire of Ziaee et al, which evaluates the three dimensions of adherence to diet (13 items), adherence to drug regimen (6 items), and physical activity pattern (7 items). The total score of this tool ranges from 0 to 100, and the higher the score, the higher the treatment adherence. In the study of Heydari et al, the validity of this questionnaire was approved through the content validity, and its reliability was calculated through Cronbach's alfa as 0.86, 0.91, and 0.95 for adherence to diet, adherence to the drug regimen, and activity pattern dimensions, respectively (30).

The third part pertained to the Health Literacy of Iranian Adults (HELIA) questionnaire and includes 33 items for evaluating five dimensions of health literacy (reading, access, perception, assessment, and decision-making and behavior). The items are scored according to the 5-point Likert scale. The total score of the questionnaire ranges from 0 to 100, and the higher the score, the higher the health literacy. Montazeri et al evaluated the validity of this questionnaire through exploratory factor analysis and its reliability by calculating internal consistency. The construct validity of the questionnaire is favorable. Cronbach's alfa of the items in the corresponding constructs was acceptable (72.0 to 89.0), and the questionnaire's reliability has been approved (31).

Data collection

After selecting the eligible patients, the researcher attended the selected hospitals for collecting data and sampling in the morning shift all days of the week except holidays. Participants were informed of the questionnaire completion method. To avoid bias, the researcher interviewed each patient individually and accurately applied their answers to the questionnaire. Completing each questionnaire takes 20 to 30 minutes. Sampling continued with the available method until it reached almost twice the predicted sample size. Finally, 120 patients completed the questionnaires.

Statistical analyses

The collected data were analyzed in SPSS-16 at a significance level of <0.05 . The results of qualitative variables were reported as numbers (percentage), and quantitative variables were reported as mean \pm standard deviation. Pearson's correlation coefficient test was used to examine the relationship between the two main variables (treatment adherence and health literacy) and the age

variable. Independent samples test and ANOVA were used to investigate the relationship between the main variables and the two groups' demographic variables and compare them between groups. Also, in the case of multi-group demographic variables, Multiple Comparisons were used.

Results

Out of 120 patients, with a mean age of 39.54 ± 13.13 years, 52 (43.3%) were men, and 68 (56.7%) were women. In addition, 52 (43.3%) patients were married, and 68 (56.7%) were single (Table 1). The Pearson correlation test showed that the mean of the total score of treatment adherence had a significant relationship with the mean of the total health literacy score in patients with mood disorders ($P < 0.05$, $r = 0.298$, Table 2). The results also showed that health literacy had a significant relationship with patients' age ($P < 0.05$), so an increase in age was associated with a decrease in health literacy; however, treatment adherence had no relationship with age ($p > 0.05$). The independent samples test and ANOVA results showed that women had significantly higher treatment adherence and health literacy than men ($P < 0.05$). Furthermore, marital status had no relationship with treatment adherence and health literacy ($P > 0.05$, Table 3).

The results of Multiple comparisons showed no relationship between treatment adherence and level of

Table 1. Frequency distribution of Patients' characteristics

Variables	Value
Age, Mean \pm SD	39.54 \pm 13.13
Gender, No. (%)	
Male	52 (43.3%)
Female	68 (56.7%)
Marital status, No. (%)	
Married	52 (43.3%)
Single	68 (56.7%)
Level of education, No. (%)	
High school	36 (30%)
Diploma	45 (37.5%)
Associate	22 (18.3%)
Bachelor	17 (14.2%)
Employment status, No. (%)	
Employed	11 (9.2%)
Non-employed	64 (53.3%)
Housekeeper	34 (28.3%)
Retired	3 (2.5%)
Student	8 (6.7%)
Source of information, No. (%)	
Health care providers	19 (15.8%)
Internet	46 (38.3%)
TV & Radio	7 (5.8%)
Friends	42 (35%)
Not knowing the source of information	6 (5%)

Table 2. Treatment adherence and health literacy and their relationship

Treatment adherence	Mean ± SD	Health literacy	Mean ± SD	P value ^a
Diet	29.31 ± 4.99	Reading	14.21 ± 3.64	
		Access	22.28 ± 5.13	
Physical activity	3.50 ± 2.41	Understanding	26.22 ± 5.36	
		Assessment	12.73 ± 3.36	
Drug regimen	18.72 ± 3.91	Decision-making & behavior	38.72 ± 5.74	
Total score	51.53 ± 8.31	Total score	61.31 ± 15.91	0.001

^a Pearson's correlation coefficient.

Table 3. Correlation of treatment adherence and health literacy with demographic variables

Variables	Treatment adherence		P value	Health literacy		P value
	Mean ± SD			Mean ± SD		
Age	39.54 ± 13.13		0.119	39.54 ± 13.13		<0.001
Gender	Male	Female	<0.01	Male	Female	<0.001
	45.17 ± 7.13	56.40 ± 5.34		54.35 ± 10.52	66.64 ± 17.30	
Marital status	Married	Single	0.072	Married	Single	0.053
	53.10 ± 8.31	50.34 ± 8.17		58.04 ± 16.89	63.81 ± 14.76	

education; however, health literacy increased significantly with an increase in academic degree, although this increase was not significant between associate degree and bachelor's ($P=0.302$). In addition, occupational status had no relationship with health literacy, although treatment adherence was significantly higher in housewife patients than in students and unemployed patients ($P<0.05$). The results showed that patients who used the Internet to acquire information had significantly higher health literacy than the other patients. In contrast, the health literacy of patients who did not know how to acquire information was significantly lower than other patients ($P<0.05$).

Discussion

The present study aimed to determine the level of treatment adherence and its relationship with health literacy in patients with mood disorders. Our results showed that patients with mood disorders had moderate levels of treatment adherence. In contrast, previous studies performed on patients with psychiatric disorders such as mental and bipolar diseases and Schizophrenia showed low or very low levels of treatment adherence in these patients (11,13,19). Differences in study results may be due to research on different populations in different cultures. Because factors such as race, stability of the living environment, social support, and socioeconomic status can affect treatment compliance (13,19). Also, in this study, questionnaires were completed by self-report method. In this method, patients may tend to adhere more to the treatment regimen than in reality (19).

Findings showed that patients with mood disorders needed more health literacy. However, Lewin, who investigated the relationship between health literacy and re-admission in psychiatric hospitals, showed that most patients had adequate health literacy (32). On the other hand, some studies have shown that the health literacy

of patients with mental disorders is lower than others; in addition, people who do not have enough health literacy have a higher chance of developing depression (33,34). Since health literacy can be affected by familial, cultural, social, and economic factors (21), the difference in the results can arise from a difference in communities' cultural and social backgrounds.

The results showed that the Internet and broadcasting were the most and least used resources for acquiring health information. In addition, health literacy in patients using the Internet was significantly higher than in other patients. However, Morton et al showed that most patients with bipolar disorders acquired information from friends and acquaintances, whereas a minority of patients acquired information from public and health organizations (35). Considering that nowadays, access to the Internet and global websites is relatively easy for most people, the results of our study were expected. In addition, non-print media, including pictures, videos, and computer applications, are effective in providing health information even for people with low health literacy (21). Given the limited studies on the health literacy of patients with mood disorders, evaluating the dimensions of this subject and the resources patients use for acquiring information seems necessary.

According to our results, treatment adherence of patients with mood disorders had a significant direct relationship with health literacy. Limited studies have been performed on the relationship between health literacy and treatment adherence in patients with mood disorders. However, a study on patients with anxiety disorders showed that improving mental health literacy results in the awareness and asking for treatment of patients' disorders (36). Lack of sufficient knowledge and awareness can be one of the reasons for non-acceptance of treatment in bipolar or schizophrenic patients (12,37).

So, in the study of Sadeghian et al, it was shown that not providing an educational program to patients with mental disorders leads to a decrease in their medication compliance (13). This can indicate the importance of the role of health literacy and its promotion in the treatment compliance of patients with mood disorders. In addition, regarding chronic diseases such as diabetes, hypertension, and cardiac failure, the results align with the present research (38-40).

Our findings did not show a relationship between treatment adherence of patients with mood disorders and education. Only the ability to read and write or academic education is not enough to comply with the treatment. Understanding the importance of recovery and the motivation to comply with treatment may be more important in these patients. However, the dimensions of this issue should be evaluated more carefully in future studies. However, Rolnick et al found a significant direct relationship between treatment adherence and education (41). This difference can be caused by the nature of the study population and the assessment tools. Our results showed no association between treatment adherence and age. In this regard, Zeighami et al found no significant relationship between age and acceptance of the drug regimen in patients with mental disorders (19). Given the intricate relationship between age and the cooperation of patients with treatment (42,43), more evidence is required to evaluate the dimensions of this subject, especially in patients with mood disorders. Older patients cooperate more with the treatment staff, and younger patients have higher levels of treatment adherence due to their better educability and health literacy.

In this study, the mean total health literacy score in female patients was significantly higher than in male patients. Accordingly, studies performed in different societies and patients showed that women had a higher level of health literacy than men (22,44). This may arise from cultural and social differences in the study population that can affect the attitudes of people and their interaction with the health system (21). Therefore, this subject should be further studied to identify gender-related factors and their effects on health literacy.

Our results showed a significant direct relationship between health literacy and academic degree. Mental health literacy is people's knowledge and beliefs about mental disorders that help recognize, manage, or prevent those disorders (45). It requires their knowledge, motivation, and competence to access health information, understand, evaluate, and apply it (33). Therefore, it seems that the ability to read and write is not enough to achieve these goals, and only the level of education cannot be a suitable criterion for evaluating health literacy.

The results showed a significant inverse relationship in this study between patients' age and health literacy, so an increase in age was associated with decreased health literacy. This can be attributed to aging, which results in physical and mental changes and reduces the reading

ability and perception of health information (21). In line with our study, Morton et al found a relationship between the age of patients with bipolar disorders and their digital health literacy (35). However, Mantell et al showed that the age of patients with mental disorders has no significant impact on their health literacy (33). This difference may arise from different tools used and dissimilar research environments.

One of the limitations of this study was not investigating the effect of demographic and confounding variables on the relationship between adherence to treatment and health literacy.

Conclusion

Inadequate health literacy in the studied patients shows that further attention should be paid to health literacy in mental health improvement programs. As mentioned, the importance of treatment adherence and following the medication regimen for controlling the symptoms of mental disorders and preventing their remission is undeniable, and inadequate health literacy can be an important obstacle. Health literacy may play a decisive role in the treatment adherence of patients. Of course, more studies should be conducted to identify the factors affecting health literacy and examine the barriers and facilitators of the effectiveness of health literacy on treatment compliance in patients with mental disorders (especially mood disorders). Although the various aspects of the effectiveness of health literacy on the adverse consequences of non-adherence to treatment are not investigated in detail, this study can be used as a basis for future studies. Therefore, it is recommended to conduct more extensive studies to determine the intervening factors in the relationship between health literacy and treatment adherence. However, we must note that the cultural and social background of the research environment can affect the findings and their generalizability.

Acknowledgments

This article was derived from a research project approved by the Student Research Committee of Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan (Iran) (approval no. 1400390). At this moment, the researchers gratefully thank the patients who participated in this study.

Authors' Contribution

Conceptualization: Sayed Reza Mousavi, Pegah Hassanvand.

Data curation: Sayed Reza Mousavi, Aliasghar Jamalinasab.

Investigation: Aliasghar Jamalinasab, Pegah Hassanvand.

Methodology: Sayed Reza Mousavi, Pegah Hassanvand.

Project administration: Sayed Reza Mousavi, Malek Fereidooni Moghadam, Pegah Hassanvand.

Resources: Aliasghar Jamalinasab, Elaheh Farahani, Bahareh Yousefi.

Supervision: Malek Fereidooni Moghadam.

Writing—original draft: Sayed Reza Mousavi, Aliasghar Jamalinasab, Pegah Hassanvand.

Writing—review & editing: Pegah Hassanvand.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Ethical Approval

Ethical considerations in this study included obtaining permission from the Ethics Committee of Isfahan University of Medical Sciences (IR.MUI.NUREMA.REC.1401.009) and obtaining written consent from the participants to participate in the study. After selecting the eligible patients, they were informed of the study objectives. Finally, written informed consent was obtained from all people who wanted to participate in the study. In addition, participation in this study was voluntary, and the patients ensured that all their information was confidential.

Funding

This research was financially supported by the Student Research Committee of Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan (Iran) [Grant No. 1400390].

References

1. Le-Niculescu H, Roseberry K, Gill SS, Levey DF, Phalen PL, Mullen J, et al. Precision medicine for mood disorders: objective assessment, risk prediction, pharmacogenomics, and repurposed drugs. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2021;26(7):2776-804. doi: [10.1038/s41380-021-01061-w](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41380-021-01061-w).
2. Rezaei M, Rahmani S, Hashemi SR, Farnia V. Bipolar mood disorder cases hospitalized in Farabi hospital of Kermanshah city (western part of Iran): 15-years survey (2001-15). *Journal of Fundamentals of Mental Health*. 2017;19(5):575-80. doi: [10.22038/jfmh.2017.9340](https://doi.org/10.22038/jfmh.2017.9340). [Persian].
3. Vaghee S, Nakhaee Moghaddam Z, Sajadi SA, Chamanzari H, Sepehriki M, Vaghei N, et al. The effect of occupational therapy on self-esteem of housewives with mood disorders after discharge from the hospital: a clinical trial study. *Sci J Hamadan Nurs Midwifery Fac*. 2018;26(1):49-56. doi: [10.30699/sjhnmf.26.1.49](https://doi.org/10.30699/sjhnmf.26.1.49). [Persian].
4. Tesfaye E, Kassaw K, Agenagnew L. Functional disability in patients with mood disorders at St Paul's hospital psychiatry clinic, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 2019. *Patient Relat Outcome Meas*. 2021;12:181-9. doi: [10.2147/prom.s295680](https://doi.org/10.2147/prom.s295680).
5. Latifian M, Raheb G, Uddin R, Abdi K, Alikhani R. The process of stigma experience in the families of people living with bipolar disorder: a grounded theory study. *BMC Psychol*. 2022;10(1):282. doi: [10.1186/s40359-022-00999-y](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40359-022-00999-y).
6. Shamsaei F, Mohamad Khan Kermanshahi S, Vanaki Z, Grosse Holtforth M. Family care giving in bipolar disorder: experiences of stigma. *Iran J Psychiatry*. 2013;8(4):188-94.
7. Ahmadzadeh Asl M, Shojaaee A, Shariati B, Rasoolian M, Rashedi V. A comparative study on the burden of disease of schizophrenia, bipolar disorder type I, and autism spectrum disorder on the family caregivers in Iran. *Archives of Rehabilitation*. 2021;22(3):320-41. doi: [10.32598/rj.22.3.3143.1](https://doi.org/10.32598/rj.22.3.3143.1). [Persian].
8. Mahmoudi F, Khosravi Z, Paivastegar M, Khodabakhsh Pirkalani R. Qualitative evaluation of recovery process in patients with bipolar disorder. *Journal of Research in Psychological Health*. 2020;13(4):29-51. [Persian].
9. Munson MR, Floersch JE, Townsend L. Are health beliefs related to adherence among adolescents with mood disorders? *Adm Policy Ment Health*. 2010;37(5):408-16. doi: [10.1007/s10488-009-0255-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10488-009-0255-6).
10. Both C, Mechler K, Niemeyer L, Jennen-Steinmetz C, Hohmann S, Schumm L, et al. Medication adherence in adolescents with psychiatric disorders. *Z Kinder Jugendpsychiatr Psychother*. 2021;49(4):295-306. doi: [10.1024/1422-4917/a000813](https://doi.org/10.1024/1422-4917/a000813).
11. Mikaili N, Ghasemi MA, Salari S, Sakeni Z. Theoretical and practical dimensions of adherence to treatment in patients: a review study. *Med J Mashhad Univ Med Sci*. 2019;62(2):1403-19. doi: [10.22038/mjms.2019.14116](https://doi.org/10.22038/mjms.2019.14116). [Persian].
12. Fernandez-Lazaro CI, García-González JM, Adams DP, Fernandez-Lazaro D, Mielgo-Ayuso J, Caballero-Garcia A, et al. Adherence to treatment and related factors among patients with chronic conditions in primary care: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Fam Pract*. 2019;20(1):132. doi: [10.1186/s12875-019-1019-3](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12875-019-1019-3).
13. Sadeghian E, Nezafatdoost M, Tapak L, Shamsaei F. Effect of medication education on drug adherence with mental disorders: a clinical trial study. *Iranian Journal of Psychiatric Nursing*. 2019;6(6):48-56. doi: [10.21859/ijpn-06066](https://doi.org/10.21859/ijpn-06066). [Persian].
14. Mohebi P, Fallahi-Khoshknab M, Rahgoi A, Norouzi Tabriz K, Khodaie Ardakani MR. Effect of the community re-entry program on medication adherence in patients with bipolar disorder type I. *Iranian Journal of Rehabilitation Research in Nursing*. 2017;3(4):58-65. [Persian].
15. World Health Organization (WHO). Adherence to Long-term Therapies: Evidence for Action. 2003. Available from: <https://iris.who.int/bitstream/handle/10665/42682/9?sequence=1>
16. Parsa Z, Banijamali SA, Saramiforushani G. The effect of community re-entry program (CRP) on compliance of patients with schizophrenia. *Quarterly of Clinical Psychology Studies*. 2020;11(38):101-18. [Persian].
17. Gonzalez-Pinto A, Mosquera F, Alonso M, López P, Ramírez F, Vieta E, et al. Suicidal risk in bipolar I disorder patients and adherence to long-term lithium treatment. *Bipolar Disord*. 2006;8(5 Pt 2):618-24. doi: [10.1111/j.1399-5618.2006.00368.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-5618.2006.00368.x).
18. Solmi M, Miola A, Croatto G, Pigato G, Favaro A, Fornaro M, et al. How can we improve antidepressant adherence in the management of depression? A targeted review and 10 clinical recommendations. *Braz J Psychiatry*. 2021;43(2):189-202. doi: [10.1590/1516-4446-2020-0935](https://doi.org/10.1590/1516-4446-2020-0935).
19. Zeighami R, Zamir SM, Javadi A, Mahmoudi T. Evaluating the medication adherence from the viewpoints of patients with mental disorders and their families. *J Qazvin Univ Med Sci*. 2020;24(1):44-55. doi: [10.32598/jqums.24.1.5](https://doi.org/10.32598/jqums.24.1.5). [Persian].
20. De Las Cuevas C, Peñate W, Sanz EJ. The relationship of psychological reactance, health locus of control and sense of self-efficacy with adherence to treatment in psychiatric outpatients with depression. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2014;14:324. doi: [10.1186/s12888-014-0324-6](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-014-0324-6).
21. Sabooteh S, Shahnazi H, Mostafavi F. Health literacy status and its related factors in the elderly in Dorood, Iran. *J Educ Community Health*. 2019;6(1):41-7. doi: [10.29252/jech.6.1.41](https://doi.org/10.29252/jech.6.1.41). [Persian].
22. Tavousi M, Haeri Mehrizi A, Rafiefar S, Solimani A, Sarbandi F, Ardestani M, et al. Health literacy in Iran: findings from a national study. *Payesh*. 2016;15(1):95-102. [Persian].
23. Rudd RE, Anderson JE, Oppenheimer S, Nath C. Health literacy: an update of medical and public health literature. In: *Review of Adult Learning and Literacy*. Vol 7. Routledge; 2023. p. 175-204.
24. Berkman ND, Davis TC, McCormack L. Health literacy: what is it?. *Journal of health communication*. 2010;15(S2):9-19. doi: [10.1080/10810730.2010.499985](https://doi.org/10.1080/10810730.2010.499985).
25. Apfel F, Tsouros AD. *Health Literacy: The Solid Facts*. Copenhagen: World Health Organization; 2013.
26. Thapa S, Nielsen JB. Association between health literacy, general psychological factors, and adherence to medical treatment among Danes aged 50-80 years. *BMC Geriatr*. 2021;21(1):386. doi: [10.1186/s12877-021-02339-y](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12877-021-02339-y).
27. Mirsalimi F, Ghofranipour F, Montazeri A, Noroozi A. Postpartum depression literacy among pregnant women. *Payesh*. 2019;18(5):525-33. [Persian].
28. Samar N, Perveen A. Relationship between mental health literacy and help seeking behavior among undergraduate students. *Int J Acad Res Bus Soc Sci*. 2021;11(6):216-30. doi: [10.6007/IJARBS/v11-i6/10113](https://doi.org/10.6007/IJARBS/v11-i6/10113).
29. Knezevic Hocevar D, Sprah L. Innovative mental health literacy programme for preventing and coping with mood

- disorders. *Eur J Public Health*. 2018;28(Suppl 4):cky214.176. doi: [10.1093/eurpub/cky214.176](https://doi.org/10.1093/eurpub/cky214.176).
30. Heydari A, Ziaee ES, Ebrahimzadeh S. The frequency of rehospitalization and its contributing factors in patient with cardiovascular diseases hospitalized in selected hospitals in Mashhad in 2010. *Intern Med Today*. 2011;17(2):65-71. [Persian].
 31. Montazeri A, Tavousi M, Rakhshani F, Azin SA, Jahangiri K, Ebadi M, et al. Health Literacy for Iranian Adults (HELIA): development and psychometric properties. *Payesh*. 2014;13(5):589-99. [Persian].
 32. Lewin EM. Health literacy and psychiatric hospital readmission. *J Contin Educ Nurs*. 2021;52(2):90-9. doi: [10.3928/00220124-20210114-09](https://doi.org/10.3928/00220124-20210114-09).
 33. Mantell PK, Baumeister A, Christ H, Ruhrmann S, Wooten C. Peculiarities of health literacy in people with mental disorders: a cross-sectional study. *Int J Soc Psychiatry*. 2020;66(1):10-22. doi: [10.1177/0020764019873683](https://doi.org/10.1177/0020764019873683).
 34. Gazmararian J, Baker D, Parker R, Blazer DG. A multivariate analysis of factors associated with depression: evaluating the role of health literacy as a potential contributor. *Arch Intern Med*. 2000;160(21):3307-14. doi: [10.1001/archinte.160.21.3307](https://doi.org/10.1001/archinte.160.21.3307).
 35. Morton E, Ho K, Barnes SJ, Michalak EE. Digital health literacy in bipolar disorder: international web-based survey. *JMIR Ment Health*. 2021;8(10):e29764. doi: [10.2196/29764](https://doi.org/10.2196/29764).
 36. Palazzo MC, Dell'Osso B, Altamura AC, Stein DJ, Baldwin DS. Health literacy and the pharmacological treatment of anxiety disorders: a systematic review. *Hum Psychopharmacol*. 2014;29(3):211-5. doi: [10.1002/hup.2397](https://doi.org/10.1002/hup.2397).
 37. Levin JB, Krivenko A, Bukach A, Tatsuoka C, Cassidy KA, Sajatovic M. A reexamination of nonpsychiatric medication adherence in individuals with bipolar disorder and medical comorbidities. *J Nerv Ment Dis*. 2017;205(3):182-7. doi: [10.1097/nmd.0000000000000544](https://doi.org/10.1097/nmd.0000000000000544).
 38. Mehrtak M, Hemmati A, Bakhshzadeh A. Health literacy and its relationship with the medical, dietary adherence and exercise in patients with type II diabetes mellitus. *J Health Lit*. 2018;3(2):137-44. [Persian].
 39. Oscalices MIL, Okuno MFP, Lopes M, Batista REA, Campanharo CRV. Health literacy and adherence to treatment of patients with heart failure. *Rev Esc Enferm USP*. 2019;53:e03447. doi: [10.1590/s1980-220x2017039803447](https://doi.org/10.1590/s1980-220x2017039803447).
 40. Rahmati M, Rejeh N, Heravi Karimooi M, Tadrissi SD. Investigating the relationship between health literacy and adherence with treatment regimen in the elderly with hypertension. *Iran J Nurs Res*. 2019;13(5):15-22. [Persian].
 41. Rolnick SJ, Pawloski PA, Hedblom BD, Asche SE, Bruzek RJ. Patient characteristics associated with medication adherence. *Clin Med Res*. 2013;11(2):54-65. doi: [10.3121/cmr.2013.1113](https://doi.org/10.3121/cmr.2013.1113).
 42. Aliafsari Mamaghani E, Hasanpoor E, Maghsoodi E, Soleimani F. Barriers to medication adherence among hypertensive patients in deprived rural areas. *Ethiop J Health Sci*. 2020;30(1):85-94. doi: [10.4314/ejhs.v30i1.11](https://doi.org/10.4314/ejhs.v30i1.11).
 43. Boratas S, Kilic HF. Evaluation of medication adherence in hypertensive patients and influential factors. *Pak J Med Sci*. 2018;34(4):959-63. doi: [10.12669/pjms.344.14994](https://doi.org/10.12669/pjms.344.14994).
 44. Ghaffarzadeh Khoei M, Lamyaian M, Lotfi R, Montazeri A. The relationship between postpartum depression and health literacy among nulliparous women. *Payesh*. 2017;16(6):797-805. [Persian].
 45. Ma KK, Anderson JK, Burn AM. School-based interventions to improve mental health literacy and reduce mental health stigma—a systematic review. *Child Adolesc Ment Health*. 2023;28(2):230-40. doi: [10.1111/camh.12543](https://doi.org/10.1111/camh.12543).

Cite this article as: Mousavi SR, Fereidooni Moghadam M, Jamalinasab A, Farahani E, Yousefi B, Hassanvand P. Treatment adherence and its relationship with health literacy in patients with mood disorders in hospitals affiliated with Isfahan university of medical sciences in 2022: a cross-sectional study. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Care*. 2023;12(2):104–110. doi: [10.34172/jmdc.1171](https://doi.org/10.34172/jmdc.1171).